Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address

NEXUS BANKRUPTCY

BENJAMIN HESTON (297798)

3090 Bristol Street #400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

Tel: 949.312.1377 Fax: 949.288.2054 ben@nexusbk.com FOR COURT USE ONLY

Attorney for: Objector, Jeffrey Gomez

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SANTA ANA DIVISION

In re:

KRISTINA LYNN SMITH

CASE NO.: 8:24-bk-12527-TA

CHAPTER: 7

NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM

DATE: 05/13/2025 TIME: 11:00 am COURTROOM: 5B

PLACE: 411 West Fourth Street Santa Ana, CA 92701

Debtor(s).

- 1. TO (specify claimant and claimant's counsel, if any): HOWARD SMITH, KRISTINA LYNN SMITH
- 2. NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the undersigned has filed an objection to your Proof of Claim (Claim # 5-1) filed in the above referenced case. The Objection to Claim seeks to alter your rights by disallowing, reducing or modifying the claim based upon the grounds set forth in the objection, a copy of which is attached hereto and served herewith.
- 3. **Deadline for Opposition Papers**: You must file and serve a response to the Objection to Claim not later than 14 days prior to the hearing date set forth above.

IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE OBJECTION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.

Date: 04/10/2025 Nexus Bankruptcy

Printed name of law firm

/s/Benjamin Heston

Signature

Date Notice Mailed: 04/11/2025 Benjamin Heston

Printed name of attorney for objector

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

ownership interest in a calculated attempt to mislead the Chapter 7 Trustee into believing that there is a substantial amount of non-exempt equity and unsecured debt.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

1617

18

1920

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

A true and correct copy of Claims 5-1 (Howard Smith) is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

The claims that are the subject of this objection and the one being filed concurrently – Claim No. 5-1 by Howard Smith (the Debtor's father) for \$689,000.00 and Claim No. 6-1 by Kerry Kavanaugh (the Debtor's sister) for \$33,000.00 – were filed by the Debtor in pro per on their behalf. These claims were fraudulently created as a means to divert a potential surplus estate away from the surplus beneficiary, Jeffrey Gomez, and into her family member's pockets.

As this objection will conclusively show, it is clear that the Debtor fabricated the documents which were attached to the proofs of claims. The promissory notes submitted in support of these claims are dated 2017 but were created using a website that did not exist until years later. Even setting aside the obvious fraud, the claims are time-barred under California's statute of limitations and fail for lack of consideration. For all of these reasons, the claims must be disallowed in their entirety.

ARGUMENT

I.

STANDING & TIMELINESS

Jeffrey Adams Gomez (hereinafter, "Gomez") is a party in interest and has standing to object to claims. *See, e.g., Matter of Dooley*, 41 B.R. 31, 33 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 1984) ("Title 11 U.S.C. § 502(a) provides that a claim shall be deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects to it ... The debtor is a party in interest, and, consequently, has standing to object"). While Gomez is not the debtor in this case, he nonetheless has standing to object to these claims due to him being a creditor and having a partial interest in any surplus of the estate. If the fraudulent claims are not disallowed, they will artificially inflate the pool of creditors, reducing any potential surplus that he would have an interest in.

Although there is a claims bar date, there is no statutory deadline for filing an objection to a proof of claim. *See, e.g., <u>Ashford v. Consol. Pioneer Mortgage</u> (<u>In re Consol. Pioneer Mortgage</u>), 178 B.R. 222, 225 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) ("Unlike a proof of claim, which must be*

filed before the bar date, an objection to a proof of claim may be filed at any time."). Therefore, this Objection is timely.

II.

THE CLAIMS WERE FRAUDULENTLY MANUFACTURED AND MUST BE DISALLOWED UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 502(B)(1)

Under 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1), a claim shall be disallowed if it is unenforceable against the debtor under applicable law. Courts have held that claims based on fraudulent documents, fabricated obligations, or false financial records must be disallowed.

"A bankruptcy court may inquire into the validity of any claim asserted against the bankrupt and may disallow it if it is found to be without lawful existence, and the mere fact that a claim has been reduced to judgment does not prevent such an inquiry. [...] And the bankruptcy trustee may collaterally attack a judgment offered as a claim against the estate for the purpose of showing that it was obtained by collusion of the parties or is founded upon no real debt."

Margolis v. Nazareth Fair Grounds & Farmers Market, Inc., 249 F.2d 221, 223-24 (2d Cir. 1957) (discussing a fraudulent claim which had been reduced to a judgment pre-petition). See also *In re Heath*, 331 B.R. 424, 437 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 2005) (noting that a proof of claim [Official Form 410] is filed under penalty of perjury and threat of fine up to \$500,000 and imprisonment up to 5 years pursuant to Federal Criminal Code §§152, 157, and 3571).

The Ninth Circuit and its Bankruptcy Appellate Panel have made clear that debtors may not abuse the bankruptcy process through bad-faith filings, and courts will not hesitate to take corrective action (such as dismissal, lifting stay, or disallowing claims) to prevent such abuse. In *In re Arnold*, 806 F.2d 937 (9th Cir. 1986), the Ninth Circuit recognized that a debtor's "lack of good faith" in filing can constitute cause for relief and that bankruptcy courts should not be refuges for tactical malfeasance. Likewise, in *In re Duvar Apt., Inc.*, 205 B.R. 196 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1996), the Ninth Circuit BAP emphasized that bad faith conduct by a debtor is actionable, noting that "[t]he existence of bad faith in commencing a bankruptcy case constitutes cause" for

appropriate relief. These cases, among others, stand for the principle that bankruptcy courts have both the authority and responsibility to curb abuses of the system.

Here, a simple examination of the promissory notes attached to these claims reveals that they were created using templates from "Templates.Legal", a website that provides generic legal forms. According to Whois.com, a publicly available domain registration database,

Templates.Legal was not registered until December 30, 2019. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct printout of the Whols.com registry. Additionally, an independent search of the Internet Archive (Archive.org), a reputable non-profit digital library that maintains historical records of websites, confirms that the Templates.Legal website did not exist prior to late 2019.

Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct printout from Archive.org. Ms. Smith claims that the promissory notes were executed on May 24, 2017. However, the fact that the documents were generated using a template from a website that did not even exist at that time is conclusive evidence of fabrication. This attempted deception demonstrates that the claims are false, fraudulent, and, therefore, unenforceable under Section 502(b)(1).

The Debtor's conduct in manufacturing and filing fraudulent family-member claims is undoubtedly bad faith. If filing a bankruptcy case in bad faith warrants dismissal or lifting of the stay, then filing false claims in an ongoing case surely warrants disallowance of those claims at the very least. The Debtor should not be permitted to benefit from her wrongdoing. Every allowed claim in a case diminishes the pool available to legitimate creditors, so a claim tainted by fraud must be stricken to protect the estate, legitimate creditors, and surplus beneficiaries.

II.

EVEN IF THE DEBTS ARE NOT FRAUDULENT, THEY HAVE BEEN RENDERED UNENFORCEABLE BY THE PASSAGE OF THE STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

Even assuming, for the sake of argument, that the debts claimed by Howard Smith and Kerry Kavanaugh were based on actual loans made in 2017, those debts are now time-barred and unenforceable under California law. Accordingly, they must be disallowed pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1).

"[I]f such objection to a claim is made, the court... shall allow such claim...

(1) such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property

Under California Code of Civil Procedure § 337, the statute of limitations for an action

1

Section 502(b)(1) provides in relevant part:

2

except to the extent that

3

except to the extent that

limitations would have expired no later than 2021.

45

of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law..."

67

on a written contract is four years from the date of breach or the date of the last payment. The

8

notes attached to Claims 5-1 and 6-1 are allegedly dated May 24, 2017. There is no indication of any payments, acknowledgments, or renewed agreements since that time. Consequently, the

9 10

latest date on which a cause of action could have accrued was in 2017, and the statute of

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Courts have consistently held that time-barred claims are unenforceable under applicable

non-bankruptcy law and must be disallowed under § 502(b)(1). See *In re Hess*, 404 B.R. 747

(Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009); *In re Chaussee*, 399 B.R. 225 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2008). The fact that a

statute of limitations is traditionally an affirmative defense in civil litigation does not preclude its

use in bankruptcy. A creditor's claim must be legally enforceable under state law to be allowed

in a bankruptcy case. When it is not—because of the passage of time—it is properly subject to

disallowance.

Here, because the notes offered in support of Claims 5-1 and 6-1 were allegedly executed

in May 2017 and there is no evidence of any subsequent payment or acknowledgment, they fall

squarely outside the statute and are therefore unenforceable. Accordingly, even if the Court were

to credit the underlying debts as valid in origin (which they are not), they are now time-barred

and must be disallowed in full.

24

25 | ///

///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

Case 8:24-bk-12527-TA	Doc 62	Filed 04/2	L1/25	Entered 04/11/25 00:03:29	Desc	
	Main D	ocument	Page	e 7 of 50		

Date: April 10, 2025

III.

IF THE PROMISSORY NOTES WERE CREATED YEARS AFTER THE ALLEGED LOANS, THEY FAIL FOR LACK OF CONSIDERATION

Under California contract law, a valid and enforceable agreement must be supported by consideration. That is, there must be a bargained-for exchange: a promise or performance given in return for a promise. Past consideration – a benefit or obligation occurring before a written promise is made – is no consideration at all. See *Kurokawa v. Blum*, 199 Cal. App. 3d 976, 989 (1988).

Here, if these loans did indeed occur in 2017 (which they did not) and the promissory notes were created several years later, these claims fail the most basic test of contract enforceability and cannot serve as the basis for a valid claim under applicable law. Accordingly, the claims founded on these notes must be disallowed for failure of consideration.

CONCLUSION

The claims asserted by Howard Smith and Kerry Kavanaugh are fraudulent, time-barred, and unsupported by valid consideration. They were filed by the Debtor herself as part of a transparent effort to redirect estate assets to her family and away from the rightful surplus beneficiary, Jeffrey Gomez.

Accordingly, Creditor Jeffrey Adams Gomez respectfully requests that the Court disallow Proof of Claim No. 5-1 in its entirety pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1) and grant any such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully,

NEXUS BANKRUPTCY

/s/Benjamin Heston

BENJAMIN HESTON,

Attorney for Creditor/Objector

5

6 7

8

1011

1213

1415

16

17

1819

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF MICHAEL KUNKEL

- I, Michael Kunkel, declare as follows:
- I have personal knowledge of all matters stated herein. On all matters stated on information and belief, I believe those allegations to be true. I could competently testify to the allegations contained herein.

QUALIFICATIONS

- 2. I am the Director of Investigative Services of Setec Security Technologies, Inc. My job responsibilities entail providing litigation support to attorneys and project management of Setec's computer forensic and electronic discovery specialists who work in our business unit, Setec Investigations, which specializes in the discovery, collection, investigation, and production of electronic information for investigating and handling computer-related crimes and misuse. In my role with Setec, I work with government entities, local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies, private attorneys, and corporations, and specialize specifically in managing computer forensic investigations and providing thorough litigation support solutions. I have been involved in thousands of computer forensic investigations and electronic discovery engagements surrounding theft of intellectual property, trade secret misappropriation, financial fraud, email and Internet abuse, employee disputes, copyright infringement, industrial espionage, disputed dismissals, software code reviews, spoliation, and large data set management among other engagements.
- 3. I hold certifications as a Certified Information Security Systems Professional (ISC2) as well as an EnCase Certified Examiner (OpenText). Previously, I was a Special Agent in the United States Air Force Office of Special Investigations where I investigated computer related crime and cyber counterintelligence for the Department of Defense. I have excelled in over 500 hours of classroom instruction on computer crime investigative techniques and I was a DoD certified Computer Crime Investigator and Computer Forensic Examiner from the Defense Cyber Investigations Training Academy. I was responsible for computer investigations covering the Western Coast of the United States

4

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

> 15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

///

///

///

25

/// 26 27 ///

28

as well as the entire US Air Force interest in the Asia/Pacific region. I also utilized my computer investigative expertise during the Global War on Terror in Iraq to identify and neutralize terrorist threats to United States in a Joint Special Operations Task Force. My curriculum vitae is hereto attached hereto.

4. Setec has participated in and led countless computer forensic investigations and electronic discovery efforts to facilitate the discovery of electronic evidence in support of criminal and civil lawsuits. Setec is regularly designated as an expert and our team of computer forensic and electronic discovery specialists frequently provides expert witness testimony.

ANALYSIS

- 5. I was asked to analyze the promissory notes that were attached to documents identified as Proofs of Claims nos. 5-1 and 6-1 and to determine whether the documents were actually created on the purported dates or whether they were made at a later time.
- 6. To verify the authenticity of the documents, I examined the Templates. Legal website, which is identified at the bottom of the promissory notes. Using Whois.com, a public domain registration database, I confirmed that Templates.Legal was first registered on December 30, 2019. This means that the website would not be distributing its templates before this date. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct printout from the WhoIs.com registry.
- 7. Additionally, I conducted a search on Archive.org, a non-profit digital library that stores historical versions of websites. The results confirmed that no records of Templates. Legal existed on Archive.org prior to late January 2021. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct printout from Archive.org.

1	8. Because the <u>Templates.Legal</u> service did not exist until 2019 according to its registration,											
2	it would have been impossible for someone to have used this service to create promissory											
3	notes in 2017. Based on my analysis, I can state with a high degree of certainty that the											
4	documents submitted with Proofs of Claims 5-1 and 6-1 were not executed on the											
5	purported date in 2017.											
6	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the											
7	foregoing is true and correct.											
8	Date: April 10, 2025											
9	Date: April 10, 2025 MICHAEL KUNKEL											
10												
11												
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
26												
27												
28												

Case 8:24-bk-12527-TA Doc 62 Filed 04/11/25 Entered 04/11/25 00:03:29 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 50



Curriculum Vitae

Michael Kunkel

Director of Investigative Services

(800) 748-5440 mkunkel@SetecInvestigations.com www.SetecInvestigations.com

Mr. Michael Kunkel is Setec Investigations' Director of Investigative Services. Mr. Kunkel possesses an extensive technical background, which is combined with a thorough education, time served in the United States Air Force, and numerous certifications, including an EnCase Certified Examiner (EnCE) by OpenText and as a Certified Information Security Systems Professional by ISC². Mr. Kunkel's expertise in computer forensics and investigative techniques allows him to successfully provide corporations, law firms, and law enforcement agencies with electronic evidence critical to the resolution of civil and criminal litigations and lead these investigations in a professional manner.

Mr. Kunkel continuously supports public and private corporations, along with government entities through litigation support and expert witness services, leveraging his technical expertise, understanding of the legal system, and real-world experience to produce cost-effective strategies for the discovery, collection, and investigation of electronic evidence. In addition, Mr. Kunkel participates in Setec Investigations' Computer Incident Response Team (CIRT) and applies his real-world experience by performing corporate computer fraud and cybercrime investigations.

Mr. Kunkel has acted as a computer forensic investigator for private attorneys, law enforcement agencies, corporations, insurance companies, and private individuals. Mr. Kunkel's involvement in diverse investigations and litigations has spanned numerous areas, including:

- Fraud
- Theft of Intellectual Property
- Trade Secret Misappropriation
- Email & Internet Abuse
- Employee Disputes
- Patent Infringement
- Copyright Infringement

- Divorce & Family Law
- Asset Recovery
- Defamation
- Industrial Espionage
- Disputed Dismissals
- Software Code Review
- Breach of Contract

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Director of Investigative Services, Setec Security Technologies, Inc. (September 2011 to Present)

- Leads and participates on computer forensic investigations and electronic discovery activities as a skilled high-tech investigator and project manager, regularly focusing on corporate computer fraud and cybercrime investigations.
- Provides litigation support advisory assistance to attorneys to advance computer forensic and electronic discovery efforts, satisfy discovery requests, and compel inspections.
- Acts as a computer forensic investigator for private attorneys, law enforcement agencies, corporations, insurance companies, and private individuals involved in diverse investigations and litigations spanning numerous areas, including, but not limited to: fraud, theft of intellectual property, trade secret misappropriation, email and internet abuse, employee disputes, sexual harassment, and breach of contract.

Digital Forensic Examiner, Stroz Friedberg L.L.C., San Francisco (August 2009 to September 2011)

- Conducted digital forensic acquisitions and analyses of laptops, desktops, and servers in civil litigations, criminal matters, and internal investigations.
- Developed and programed customized utilities for use in the processing of electronic data, increasing the efficiency of electronic discovery processes.
- Performed large-scale electronic discovery involving the preservation, processing, and production of electronic data from a variety of digital sources.

United States Air Force, Chief of Cyber Investigations & Operations, Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI), Yokota Air Base, Japan (August 2006 to August 2009)

- Supervised, planned, coordinated, and implemented all cyber counterintelligence operations and network intrusion investigations conducted by the Air Force, from the Horn of Africa to the International Date Line.
- Led a team of Special Agents providing cyber investigative and computer forensic support to a multitude of criminal and counterintelligence cases covering 8 locations and providing consultations to over one hundred field agents.
- Provided specialized briefings to other government agencies and Air Force leadership concerning the threats to the U.S. network infrastructure from foreign intelligence services.
- Conducted extensive liaison with high-level Japanese, Korean, and U.S. military and law enforcement counterparts regarding threats to their networks.

United States Air Force, Global War on Terrorism, Operation Iraqi Freedom, Iraq, (September 2008 to March 2009)

 Deployed to Iraq as part of a Joint Special Operations Task Force working alongside members of each service and civilians to counter the Iraqi insurgency and establish stability in the region.

United States Air Force, Computer Crime Investigator, Travis Air Force Base, California (December 2005 to August 2006)

- Planned, coordinated, and implemented cyber counterintelligence operations in the western United States.
- Served as the primary investigator on network intrusion investigations involving US Air Force assets.
- Provided cyber investigative consultation and computer forensic analysis to 17 other Air Force field investigative units.
- Testified in multiple court martial proceedings.

United States Air Force, Criminal Investigator, Travis Air Force Base, California, (June 2004 to December 2005)

- Acted as primary investigator for felony-level crimes including: murder, rape, child molestation, drugs, blackmail, and fraud.
- Assisted in the apprehension and subsequent incarceration of multiple Air Force criminals.
- Wrote reports and provided testimony in multiple court-martial proceedings.

PROFESSIONAL CERTIFICATIONS

- EnCase Certified Examiner, 2010.
- Certified Information Security Systems Professional, 2009.
- DoD-Certified Computer Crime Investigator, 2006.
- DoD-Certified Computer Forensic Examiner, 2005.

SELECTION OF PROFESSIONAL TRAINING

- STROZ FRIEDBERG, Internal Training Program Attended weekly in-house training presentations on digital forensics, cybercrime response, computer security, desktop and network forensics tools, and relevant legal topics.
- DEFENSE CYBER INVESTIGATIONS TRAINING ACADEMY Excelled in 500 hours of formal cyber investigative training in Networks and Computer Hardware, Incident Response, Windows Forensic Examinations, Forensics and Intrusions in Windows and Linux Environments, Network Monitoring, Online Undercover Techniques, and Malicious Code Analysis.

- UNITED STATES AIR FORCE SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS ACADEMY -Credentialed as a Special Agent of the United States Government.
- FEDERAL LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING CENTER Criminal Investigator's Training Program.

EDUCATION

- 2008, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT DALLAS, M.B.A., Business
- 2004, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY, B.S., Systems Engineering

LIVE TESTIMONY EXPERIENCE

- 1. United States v Mirabal, United States Air Force Court Martial, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada, April 2006.
- 2. United States v Turner, United States Air Force Court Martial, Travis Air Force Base, California, January 2007.
- 3. United States v Chapman, United States Air Force Court Martial, Travis Air Force Base, California, January 2007.
- 4. United States v Cann, United States Air Force Court Martial, Elmendorf Air Force Base, Alaska, January 2007.
- 5. United States v Ruddy, United States Air Force Court Martial, Travis Air Force Base, California, June 2007.
- 6. Denise Winters v County of Solano, Case No: FCS035144, Superior Court of California, Solano County, California, October 2011.
- 7. Bruce W. Ballai v Kiewitt, Case No: 10CV09827, District Court of Johnson County Kansas, Johnson County, Kansas, February 2012.
- 8. Hummer Construction, Inc. v Aidlin General Trust, et al., Case No: BC449181, Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, California, May 2012.
- 9. Bergstein, et al. v Tregub, et al., Case No: BC434558, Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, California, September 2012.
- 10. SMH Capital Advisors, Inc. v Jimmy Day, FINRA Case No: 12-03291, FINRA Arbitration, Dallas, Texas, October 2012
- 11. *Id.*, March 2013.
- 12. Videotech Services, Inc. v Edward Abdalla, David Santos, Timothy Rodriquez, Timothy Woolsey, H.F. Woolsey Corporation d/b/a The Crew Source, Case No. SC105947, Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, California, January 2013
- 13. *Id.*, July 2014.

- RPMx Construction, LLC, v Brian Colin and Earth Basics Contracting Corporation, Case No. 417-02459-2013, District Court, 417 Judicial District, Collin County, Texas, July 2013.
- 15. Pavez Insurance Agency, Inc. DBA CPI Financial & Insurance Services v Sandra M. Best and Does 1 to 10, Inclusive, Case No. CIVSS 818321, Superior Court of California, San Bernardino County, California, September 2013
- 16. *Id.*, October 2013.
- 17. Slaymaker v Armstrong Financial Group, Case No. 37-2012-00054141-CU-OE-NC, Superior Court of California, San Diego County, California, April 2014.
- 18. Dupree, Sulatycky, O'Brien, Giron, and Morales v Sajahtera, Inc., Case No. BC463162, Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, California, July 2014.
- 19. Rebecca Lewis v Alexandria Gramercy, LLC; Urban Brokerage, Inc., Case No. BC497235, Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, California, August 2014.
- 20. Compak Securities, Inc., v Olandj, McDonald, and Orama, JAMS Reference No. 1200047466, JAMS Arbitration, Los Angeles, California, August 2014.
- 21. Stephen Welsh v Ryder Truck Rental, INC., and Navistar International Corporation; Case No. 37-2012-00085385-CU-PO-CTL, Superior Court of California, San Diego County, California, September 2014.
- 22. Archie Roundtree v Los Angeles Unified School District, Gerardo Loera, Ari Bennett, Adriana Maldonado-Gomez and Does 1-30, Case No. BC 499893, Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, California, November 2014.
- 23. InfoSpan, Inc. v Emirates NBD Bank PJSC, Case No. 8:11-CV01062 JVS (ANx), United States District Court, Central District of California, Southern Division, January 2015.
- 24. Luminant Generation Company, LLC., vs Jennifer Morrison, T. Jeff Stone, and T. Jeff Stone & Associates, LLC., Cause No. 416-03151-2013, District Court of Collin County, Texas, 416th Judicial District, February 2015.
- 25. Procaps S.A. v Patheon Inc., Case No. 1:12-cv-24356-JG, United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Miami Division, June 2015.
- 26. Central Medical Diagnostic Laboratory, Inc., v Brian Patchett, Michael Lumkin, California Laboratory Sciences LLC, Case No. 30-2013-00628124, Superior Court of California, County of Orange Central Justice Center, California, February 2015
- 27. *Id.*, September 2015.
- 28. Cat 3, LLC, SXH, LLC, and Suchman, LLC, v Black Lineage, Inc., and Vahe Estepanian a/k/a Fletch Estepanian, Case N. 14-cv-5511 (AT), U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, California, October 2015
- 29. *Id.*, New York, December 2015.

- 30. Charles M. Natcher, Jr., v JPH Consulting, INC., Case No. 56-2013-0446158-CU-WT-VTA, Superior Court of the State of California, Ventura County Hall of Justice, Arbitration, California, January 2016.
- 31. American Lighting Inc, Neo-Neon Led USA Holdings Ltd and Tivoli LLC, v Targetti Sankey, S p A, et al., Case No. 14-01285-DOC, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Southern Division, California, February 2016.
- 32. Bombardier Inc. v Fabio Rebello, Case No. 2014-CA-001878-O, Circuit Court of the Ninth Judicial Circuit In And For Orange County, Florida, Texas, February 2016.
- 33. CoreLogic, Inc. v First American Financial Corporation, Case No. A213700, Judicate West Arbitration, California, April 2016.
- 34. Integrated Instrument Services, Inc. v Aziz Rahim and Pippets Pro, LLC, Cause No. 201613511, 165th Judicial District, District Court of Harris County, Texas, May 2016.
- 35. Chaowen Zhong v Eaton Escrow, Inc, Case No. 37-2015-00006141-CU-PN-CTL, Superior Court of the State of California, San Diego County, California, May 2016.
- 36. Building Materials Corporation of America dba GAF Materials Corporation v Paramount Petroleum Corporation, Case No. BC 481673, Superior Court of the State of California, Los Angeles County, California, July 2016.
- 37. The Regents of the University of California v Paul S. Aisen, et. al., Case No. 3:15-cv-01766-BEN-JLB, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, California, December 2016.
- 38. Alessandro Camara v C.G. Motor Sports, Inc., Case No. CIVDS1517958, Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Bernardino, California, January 2017.
- 39. Fiber Systems International, Inc. v Michael Dabrowski, Case No. 417-05506-2016, District Court of Collin County, Texas, 417th District Court, McKinney, Texas, February 2017.
- 40. FaxLogic, LLC v Matthew Thornton, Cause No. DC-15-07964, District Court of Dallas, Texas, 193rd Judicial District, Dallas, Texas, October 2016
- 41. Id., May 2017.
- 42. Aerovironment, Inc. v Torres, McAllister, McBride, Micasense Inc., Case No. 56-2015-00465460-CU-BC-VTA, Superior Court of the State of California, Ventura County, California, June 2017.
- 43. CP Kelco U.S., Inc. v Chienkuo Yuan, Case No. 37-2015-00022453-CU-BT-CTL, Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, California, March 2017
- 44. Id., August 2017.
- 45. Tracey Downey-Racen v Healthy Gourmet, LLC, Case No. 30-2012-00619381-CU-BC-CJC, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Orange, California, October 2017.

- 46. The New Home Company v Jay Bartell and Ginger Marin, Case No. BC607130, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, California, October 2017.
- 47. Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP v Gregory J. Lorber, Case No. 14-65050GAG, ADR Services, Inc., California, June 2018
- 48. *Id.*, July 2018.
- 49. Professional Reprographics Services, Inc. v Hudson Shuffleboards, Inc., Case No. 30-2018-00992602-CU-UD-CJC, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Orange, July 2018.
- 50. Oren Cohen v Avraham Hassid, Case No. BC618549, Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, California, September 2018.
- 51. Savills Studley, Inc. v Ashley Elkin, Case No. 1:18-cv-02887-CMA-NRN, U.S.District Court, District of Colorado, Colorado, November 2018.
- 52. Taryn Dudley v Allan M. Acton, D.D.S., P.A a/k/a Cary Family Dental, LLC, Case No. 5:18-cv-00146-D, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of North Carolina, Western Division, April 2019.
- 53. Absolute Pediatric Services, Inc. v LaDonna Humphrey, Case No. 04CV-18-961, Circuit Court of Benton County, Arkansas, May 2019.
- 54. Citcon USA, LLC. v RiverPay Inc., et al., Case No. 5:18-cv-02585-NC, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, California, September 2019.
- 55. Zeno Semiconductor Inc. v Silicon Storage Technology Inc., et al., Case No. 17CV306507, Superior Court of Santa Clara, November 2019.
- 56. Zitan Technologies, LLC, and Ginko, LLC, v Liang Yu, Case No. 3:18-cv-00395-MMD-WGC, United States District Court District of Nevada, March 2020.
- 57. EMR (USA Holdings) Inc., v Richard Goldberg, et al., Case No. DC-17-14064, 116th Judicial District, Dallas County, October 2020.
- 58. SPS Technologies, LLC d/b/a PB Fasteners, v Briles Aerospace, Inc, Mike Briles and Robert Briles., Case No. 2:18-cv-09536-MWF-AS, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, November 2020.
- 59. Karma Automotive, LLC, v Lordstown Motor Corp., Case No. 8:20-cv-02104-JVS-DFM, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, February 2021.
- 60. Timothy Allen, v Vaksman Law Office, P.C., Case No. 2:19-cv-30-TBM-MTP, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, February 2021.
- 61. Brown & Brown Insurance of Arizona, Inc., v Mark New, Lorna New, Zachary Hope, and Jenna Hope, Case N. CV2019-014651, Superior Court of the State of Arizona in and for the County of Maricopa, May 2021.
- 62. Steven Jensen v Kristen Kerr, Case N. BC592726, Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, May 2021.

- 63. Stephen Courtney v Kelly Liebbe, Cause No. 296-03470-2016, District Court of the 296th Judicial District, Collin County, June 2021.
- 64. Wisk Aero LLC v Archer Aviation Inc., Case No. 3:21-cv-02450-WHO, United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, June 2021.
- 65. Vickers Holding and Finance Inc. v Jossiv Kim and Angelina Kim, Case No. BC 674584, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles Central District, Los Angeles, June 2021.
- 66. Hwa Ja Park v Samho Tour, Inc, Case No. 20STCV08182, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles Central District, Los Angeles, August 2021.
- 67. Securities and Exchange Commission v Bradley C. Davis, Case No. 1:20-cv-01534-MKV, United States District Court Southern District of New York, September 2021.
- 68. Maris Luz Zucchella v Olympusat, Inc, Case No. 2:19-cv-07335-DSF-PLA, United States District Court Central District of California Western Division, October 2021.
- 69. Alfredo Herrera v Hilbert Property Management, Inc, Case No. 19STCV27252, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles Central District, Los Angeles, October 2021.
- RG Abrams Insurance and Robin Goltsman v The Law Office of C.R. Abrams, Case No. 2:21-cv-00194-FLA-MAA, United States District Court, Central District of California, October 2021.
- 71. Shahin Adib v Sun West Mortgage Company, JAMS Ref No.: 1200057272, Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services, October 2021.
- 72. Above Referenced Hwa Ja Park v Samho Tour, Inc (Matter 66), November 2021.
- 73. BC Tatum Ventures, Inc. v Nancy Brown and Holly Hefele, Case No. 18CV-0201, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Luis Obisbo, January 2022.
- 74. Slim Chillers Inc. v Laura Selecky, Case No. A-20-819562-B, District Court, Clark County, NV, February 2022.
- 75. Spencer Graff v Crosstown Holdings LLC, Arbitrator Shawn Aiken, February 2022.
- 76. Hupel v Robles, Guitierrez, and Hesselbein Tire Southwest, Inc, Cause No. 2019CI12311, District Court, Bexar County, March 2022.
- 77. Paul Ortiz v Lumbee Tribe Enterprises, LLC, Case No. CL21-015, Circuit Court, Stafford County, Virginia, April 2022.
- 78. Aurora Cazares v Anaheim Terrace Care Center, LLC et al., Case No. 30-2019-01062296, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Orange North Justice Center, Orange County, California, April 2022.

- 79. Impact Images Inc. v Aaron Wolfe, et al., Case No. RIC1903448, Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside, Riverside County, California, May 2022.
- 80. Zoom Imaging Solutions, Inc. v Jon Crossen, et al., Case No. 2:19-CV-01544-WBS-KJN, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, May 2022.
- 81. Jonathan Pantani v Instapage, Inc., Case No. CGC-21-589593, Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of San Francisco, San Francisco County, California, June 2022.
- 82. Harley Marine Services, Inc. v Matthew Gullickson and Sven Christensen, Case No. 19-2-26516-7 SEA, Superior Court of Washington for King County, Washington, June 2022.
- 83. Sarah Perata v City and County of San Francisco, Case No. 3:21-cv-02819, United States District Court, Northern District of California, June 2022.
- 84. Above Referenced SEC v Bradley Davis (Matter 67), June 2022.
- 85. WnG Construction JV, Inc. v AAA Solar Electric, et al., Case No. VC065473, California Superior Court, Los Angeles County, Southeast District, Norwalk Courthouse, July 2022.
- 86. Above Referenced Karma Automotive, LLC, v Lordstown Motor Corp. (Matter 59), August 2022.
- 87. Above Referenced Impact Images Inc. v Aaron Wolfe, et al (Matter 79), September 2022.
- 88. Blumberg v Stroma Medical Corp., Case No. 30-2020-01172565-CU-BC-CJC, California Superior Court, Orange County, Central Justice Center, California, February 2023.
- 89. Megan Ekstrom and Robert Ekstrom v Felix; Silverstein; Ekstrom Properties Limited; Stanley Ekstrom Foundation Inc., No. 01-22-00003203, American Arbitration Association, California, February 2023.
- 90. Renee Dawn Capri v David Scott Crawford, Case No. 19FAM02249, California Superior Court, San Mateo County, March 2023.
- 91. John Wavell v Robert Zwerling, Case No. 1200055354, Judicial Arbitration & Mediation Services, Orange County, California, March 2023.
- 92. Dalle-Homme v Homme, Case No. 19STFL13699, California Superior Court, Los Angeles County, California, March 2023.
- 93. Gamie, LLC dba C3 Risk & Insurance Services v The Liberty Company Insurance Brokers, McIntosh and Callahan, Case No. 01-21-000-3812, American Arbitration Association, May 2023.
- 94. Apple Inc. v Rivos Inc. Case No. 5:22-CV-2637-EJD, United States District Court, Northern District of California San Jose Division, June 2023.
- 95. Interior Electric Inc. v TWC Construction Inc. Case No. 2:18-CV-01118, United States District Court, District of Nevada, June 2023.

- 96. Bakemark USA, LLC v Javier Navarro et. al. Case No. 2:21-cv-02499 JAK-AGR, United States District Court, Central District of California, August 2023.
- 97. Above Referenced John Wavell v Robert Zwerling (Matter 91), August 2023.
- 98. Glen Kelley v Fundomate, Inc. Case No. 2:22-cv-05814-FLA-AS, United States District Court, Central District of California, November 2023.
- 99. Carolyn Griffen v Bloom Energy Corporation. JAMS Case No. 5110000174, JAMS Arbitration, Silicon Valley, California, November 2023.
- 100. Fine Consulting Services, Inc. v Manley's Boiler LLC. Case No. 30-2019-01091016-CU-BC-NJC, California Superior Court, County of Orange Central Justice Center, December 2023.
- 101. Lockton Companies, LLC Pacific Series, et al. v Eric Kaufman. Case No. 4:22-cv-00462-SRB, United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, January 2024.
- 102. Dina Abdurahman v Prospect CCMC, LLC, and Dorian Jacobs, M.D. Civil Action No. 2:20-cv-03609-CFK, United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, February 2024.
- 103. Netlogic Solutions Inc. v ZoleyTech, Inc. No. 01-23-00001172, American Arbitration Association, February 2024.
- 104. Id., March 2024.
- 105. Altor BioScience, LLC; NantCell, Inc. v Hing C. Wong and HCW Biologics, Inc. No. 5220002288, JAMS Arbitration, May 2024.
- 106. Sagewater, LLC v David Hossfeld, et al., Case No. 1:23-CV-00770, United States District Court, Eastern District of Virginia, June 2024.
- 107. Netlogic Solutions, Inc. v Anil Dhondi, Case No. 2022-017192, Circuit Court for Fairfax County, Virginia, July 2024.
- 108. Rahul Patil v Ragle Inc. and Select Maintenance, LLC. No. 01-23-0002-4283, American Arbitration Association, July 2024.
- 109. TK Elevator Corp. v Chiteka Jackson, Case No. 1:22-CV-04999-SCJ. United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, August 2024.
- 110. Jacob Blalock & Benjamin Novak v Halt Gold Group, LLC, Case No. 19STCV35566. California Superior Court, County of Los Angeles, September 2024.
- 111. Sandra Holl v Barry Polidor, Esq., Docket No. 223-CV-00435. Vermont Superior Court, Civil Division, Windsor Unit, September 2024.
- 112. Hayes Medical Staffing, LLC v Eichelberg, et al., Case No. 0:23-cv-60748-DSL. United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Ft. Lauderdale, October 2024.
- 113. J&J Freight Solutions, LLC, vs. Jianhua Li, Case No. 19GDCV01347. California Superior Court, Los Angeles County, California, November 2024.

- 114. Giti Mayton, et al. vs. Matthew J. Romeo, et al., Case No. 22CV008943. Court of Common Pleas, Franklin County, Ohio, December 2024.
- 115. Above Referenced J&J Freight Solutions, LLC, vs. Jianhua Li (Matter 113), December 2024.
- 116. Control Technology & Solutions, LLC v. Omni Energy Partners, LLC, Case No. 4:21-cv-00686-MTS. United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri, February 2025.
- 117. Lupin Atlantis Holdings SA v. Xian-Ming Zeng, Transpire Bio, INC, Axel Perlwitz, and William Schachtner, Case No. 0:23-CV-61621-MD. United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Fort Lauderdale Division, February 2025.
- 118. Ann Michelle Salan v. M.S. Aerospace, Case No. YZNPB, Signature Resolution, Arbitration, April 2025.

DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN HESTON

- I, Benjamin Heston, declare as follows:
- 1. I have personal knowledge of all matters stated herein. On all matters stated on information and belief, I believe those allegations to be true. I could competently testify to the allegations contained herein.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the Proof of Claim filed as Claim No. 5-1. I personally obtained this document by downloading it from the Court's CM/ECF system.
- 3. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: April 10, 2025

/s/Benjamin Heston

BENJAMIN HESTON

> Objection to Claim





templates24.net





Buy Now

templates.legal

Updated 1 second ago 🗘

Domain Information										
Domain:	templates.legal									
Registered On:	2019-12-30									
Expires On:	2026-12-30									
Updated On:	2023-06-25									
Status:	client transfer prohibited									
Name Servers:	dom.ns.cloudflare.com									

templatesuk.com	Buy Now
templatesreviews.com	Buy Now
templatestips.com	Buy Now
templateshelp.com	Buy Now

Interested in similar domains?

templatesgames.com	Buy Nov

Registra	r Information
Registrar:	NameCheap, Inc.
IANA ID:	1068
Abuse Email:	abuse@namecheap.com
Abuse Phone:	+1.9854014545

wanda.ns.cloudflare.com

.sp	ace	
\$29.88	\$1.1	8

BUY NOW

*while stocks last

On Sale!



.ICU @ \$1.48 \$8.88

Registra	nt Contact
Organization:	Privacy service provided by Withheld for Privacy ehf
State:	Capital Region
Country:	IS



related domain names

identity.digital donuts.co icann.org cloudflare.com namecheap.com withheldforprivacy.com

Copyright © Whois.com. All rights reserved

Privacy | Terms

Objection to Claim

DONATE

Explore more than 928 billion web pages saved over time

templates.legal

Calendar · Collections · Changes · Summary · Site Map · URLs

Saved 100 times between January 25, 2021 and April 1, 2025.



			JAN							FEB							MAR							APR			
			1	2	3	4							1							1			1	2	3	4	5
5	6	7	8	9	10	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
19	20	21	22	23	24	25	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
26	27	28	29	30	31		23	24	25	26	27	28		23	24	25	26	27	28	29	27	28	29	30			
														30	31												
			MAY							JUN							JUL							AUG			
				1	2	3	1	2	3	4	5	6	7			1	2	3	4	5						1	2
4	5	6	7	8	9	10	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
25	26	27	28	29	30	31	29	30						27	28	29	30	31			24	25	26	27	28	29	30
																					31						
			SEP							ОСТ							NOV							DEC			
	1	2	3	4	5	6				1	2	3	4							1		1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30					26	27	28	29	30	31		23	24	25	26	27	28	29	28	29	30	31			

Note

This calendar view maps the number of times **templates.legal** was crawled by the Wayback Machine, *not* how many times the site was actually updated. More info in the FAQ.

30

FAQ | Contact Us | Terms of Service (Dec 31, 2014)

The Wayback Machine is an initiative of the Internet Archive, a 501(c)(3) non-profit, building a digital library of Internet sites and other cultural artifacts in digital form. Other projects include Open Library & archive-it.org.

> Objection to Claim

Casses 6: 242-144-1612-1525-27-4TA Claimor 5-21. Hiteration 24/1111/225 Entercetal ain/ 1016/25 roll of 50

Fill by the information of a large state of the state of	
Fill in this information to identify the case:	FILED
Debtor 1 Kristina Smith	U.S. Bankruptcy Court
Debtor 2	Central District of California
(Spouse, if filing)	1/17/2025
United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California	Kathleen J. Campbell, Clerk
Case number: 24_12527	Katilieen J. Campbell, Clerk

Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

12/24

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. Do not send original documents; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

1.Who is the current creditor?	Howard Smith										
creditor:	Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim) Other names the creditor used with the debtor										
Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	✓ No ☐ Yes. From whom?										
B.Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?	Where should notices to the creditor be sent? Howard Smith	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)									
Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Name 28822 Via De Luna Laguna Niguel, CA 92677	Name									
	Contact phone 9498315332	Contact phone									
	Contact email Howiesmith59@gmail.com Uniform claim identifier (if you use one):	Contact email									
I.Does this claim amend one already filed?	No ☐ Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known)										
5.Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No □ Yes. Who made the earlier filing?	MM / DD / YYYY									

Official Form 410 Proof of Claim page 1

Part 2: Give Information					(19) (4)/1010	/25/100/10 3:292ageD2essof3						
6.Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?		No Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor	's accou	ınt or any number you use	to identify	the debtor:						
7.How much is the claim?	\$	689000.00	. ☑ N Y □	es. Attach statement	itemizing	st or other charges? g interest, fees, expenses, or cruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).						
8.What is the basis of the claim?	dear Ban Limi	mples: Goods sold, money th, or credit card. Attach re kruptcy Rule 3001(c). it disclosing information that ans for legal services and li	edacted at is er	d copies of any docum	ents sup	personal injury or wrongful oporting the claim required by hcare information.						
9. Is all or part of the claim secured?		Yes. The claim is secured Nature of property: Real estate. If the c	laim is	secured by the debto	r's princi Form 41	pal residence, file a <i>Mortgage</i> I0–A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> .						
	Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)											
		Value of property:		_								
		Amount of the claim th secured:	at is	\$		_						
		Amount of the claim th unsecured:	at is	\$		(The sum of the secured and unsecured amounts should match the amount in line 7.)						
		Amount necessary to c date of the petition:	\$									
		Annual Interest Rate (w		%								
		☐ Fixed ☐ Variable										
10.ls this claim based on a lease?		No Yes. Amount necessar y	y to cı	ire any default as of	the date	e of the petition.\$						
11.Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	9	No Yes. Identify the property	/ :									

Official Form 410

Proof of Claim

page 2

Casses 8: 2:42-14-16-16:21525727-77 A Cligions 6-21. Filitecth 0041/11117/225. Extremental Airly 1216/2:51r0 0-r013: 249-ageD 2essof 3 Page 31 of 50 Main Document 12. Is all or part of the claim ~ No entitled to priority under Amount entitled to priority Yes. Check all that apply: A claim may be partly ☐ Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) § priority and partly under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B). nonpriority. For example, ☐ Up to \$3,350* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of in some categories, the \$ property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 law limits the amount entitled to priority. U.S.C. § 507(a)(7). ☐ Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$15,150*) earned within \$ 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4). ☐ Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § \$ 507(a)(8). ☐ Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5). \$ Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(_) that applies \$ * Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/25 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment. Part 3: Sign Below The person completing Check the appropriate box: this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP I am the creditor. 9011(b). I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent. If you file this claim ~ I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004. electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(3) authorizes courts I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005. to establish local rules specifying what a signature I understand that an authorized signature on this Proof of Claim serves as an acknowledgment that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt. A person who files a I have examined the information in this Proof of Claim and have a reasonable belief that the information is true fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157 and 3571. Executed on date 1/17/2025 MM / DD / YYYY /s/ Kristina Smith Signature Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim: Name Kristina Smith Middle name First name Last name Title Company Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a Address 27591 Kathy Court Number Street Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

Official Form 410 Proof of Claim page 3

9494390553

Contact phone

City State ZIP Code

Email

finleyandtatumsmom@gmail.com

STANDARD PROMISSORY NOTE

(SECURED)

State of California

s 500,000 Date 512412017

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned, Kristing Smith ("Borrower"), hereby promises to pay to the Howard Saith or Extra
("Borrower"), hereby promises to pay to the Howard Salth or Extate
("Lender"), the principal sum of \$500,000 (the "Principal Amount") together with interest on
the unpaid Principal Amount in accordance with the terms set forth below
1. Payment
The Borrower shall:
makeequal payments of(\$) each, every
(month/year) starting on and coding on
Or,
paya lump sum payment by paid through close of escrow m Yeal proporties Attachment A 7.1 2. Term
This Note will mature, and be due and payable in full, on (the "Maturity Date").
3. Default
Where the Borrower fails to pay the Note in full on the Maturity Date or has failed to make an installment payment due within 15 days of the Maturity Date, all unpaid principal shall accrue interest at the rate of percent (0%) per annum OR at the maximum rate allowed by law, whichever is less,
until the Borrower is no longer in default.
4. Allocation of Payments
Payments shall be first credited any late fees due, then to interest due and any remainder will be credited to the principal.

· Templates.Legal

5. · Prepayment

The Borrower may prepay the loan, with Interest, without any penalty

6. Late Fees

If Borrower fails to make a payment due under this Note within _____O days after the Maturity Date, the Borrower agrees to pay to Lender a late payment fee of \$____O___.

7. Security

- 7.1. This Note is secured by Real Property see AH. A 7.1
 (The Security).
- 7.2. The Security may not be sold or transferred without the Lender's consent until the Maturity Date.
- 7.3. If Borrower breaches this provision, the Lender may declare all sums due under this Note immediately due and payable, unless where prohibited by the Governing Law.
- 7.4. The Lender shall have the sole-option to accept the Security as full- payment for the Principal Amount without further liabilities or obligations. If the market value of the Security does not exceed the Principal Amount, the Borrower shall remain liable for the balance due while accruing interest at the maximum rate allowed by law.

8. Acceleration

If the Borrower is in default under this Note or is in default regarding the Security instrument of this Note, and such default is not cured within _D_ days after written notice of such default, then the Lender may, at its option, declare all outstanding sums owed on this Note to be immediately due and payable, in addition to any other rights or remedies that the Lender may have under the security instrument or by law.

9. Collection

- 9.1. The Borrower shall pay any and all issue taxes, documentary stamp taxes, and other taxes that may be payable in respect of the issuance or delivery of this Note.
- 9.2. If this Note is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection following the occurrence of an event of Default, the Borrower agrees to pay to the Lender upon demand all costs and expenses, including, without limitation, all attorneys' fees and court costs incurred by the Lender in connection with the enforcement or collection of this Note (whether or not any action has been commenced by the Lender to enforce or collect this Note).

10. Transfer of Note

The Lender may transfer this Note in compliance with applicable U.S. federal and state and/or foreign securities laws.

11. Amendment

Templates.Legal

This Note may not be modified or amended except by written agreement signed by both the Borrower and Lender.

12. Governing Law & Jurisdiction

This Note shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California, and the parties hereby submit to this jurisdiction without prejudice to any conflict of law provisions.

13. No Assignment & Delegation

The Borrower may not assign its rights or delegate its duties under this Note without the Lender's prior written consent.

14. Severability

In the event that any provision of this Note is determined to be void or unenforceable in whole or in part, for any reason, such determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision, all of which shall remain in full force and effect.

15. Notices

Any notices required or permitted to be given under this Note, shall be given in writing and shall be delivered either in person, or by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, or by facsimile, or by a commercial overnight courier that guarantees next day delivery and provides a receipt, and such notices shall be made to the parties at the addresses listed below:

Borrower's Address: 27591 Kathy Court Lagura Miguel. (4 92677

16. Waiver

- 16.1. No failure or delay by the Lender in exercising its rights under this Note shall be considered a waiver of such rights. The Lender shall also not be deemed to have waived any provision of this Note or the exercise of any rights held under this Note unless such waiver is made expressly and in writing.
- 16.2. The waiver by Lender of a breach or violation of any provision of this Note shall not constitute a waiver of any other subsequent breach or violation.
- 16.3. The Borrower and all sureties, guarantors and endorsers hereof, waive presentment, protest and demand, notice of protest, demand and dishonor and nonpayment of this Note.

....

17. Headings

The section headings of this Note are for convenience only, and shall not limit or otherwise affect any of the terms hereof.

Templates.Legal

Casse 83224 blok 11235277 TVA Chair 62-1 Pileto 204/Eile 2501/Eint 25ed De sc1 Atta 0 to no 2012 Designe Main Document 18 age 35 of 50

18. Binding

This Note will inure to the benefit of and be binding on the respective successors and permitted assigns of Lender and Borrower

19. Conflicting Terms

In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Note and the terms of any security instrument securing the payment of this Note, the terms of this Note shall prevail.

20. Execution Clause

The Borrower executes this Note as a principal and not as a surety. If there is more than one Borrower, each Borrower shall be jointly and severally liable under this Note.

x Howard Smith x Krintina Smith

Lender's Printed Name Borrower's Printed Name

Witness's Signature Witness's Signature

Witness's Printed Name

Witness's Printed Name



Attachment A Secured Real Property

1. 271 Ashbury Lane Upland, CA 91784

APN 1043-341-25-0000

2. 3226 Garden Dr. San Bernardino, CA 92404

APN 0271-371-24-0000

3. 3588 N. Lugo Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92404

APN 0153-031-13-0000

4. 137 S. Terrace San Bernardino CA 92404

APN 0142-721-34

5. 587 West Winchester Rialto, CA 92376

APN 0127-203-17

6. 739 West H Street Ontario, CA

APN 1048-303-06-0

7. 951 East Home St Rialto, CA 92376

APN 0133-302-25

8. 1010 West Moffatt Street Rialto, CA 92378

APN 1133-411-12

9. 7013 Ramona Ave. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701

APN 1076-221-67-0-000

10.14227 Weeping Willow Ln. Fontana, CA 92337

APN 236-462-22

11. 4343 Alamitos Montclair, CA 91763

APN 1009-232-2120

SECURED PROMISSORY NOTE SMITH/SMITH 2017-MAY-24

STANDARD PROMISSORY NOTE SECURED

Th.	is Secured Promissory Note ("Note") is made as of this $\frac{9 21 2020}{2020}$ (mm/doyyyy).
	ting SMITH (Bonower Name) or I Ravarde South Self
CB	forrower"), has received and promises to payback
H	oward Smith render Names of Self
ń	ender') The principal sum of 178,000 US Dottars (\$
	interest accruing on the unpaid balance at a rate of percent (%) per annum.
	tenaffer known as the "Borrowed Money", beginning as of the Start Date in the manner tollows
-	close of esorous : weeping willow, Lugo, S. Tar
1.	PAYMENTS The full balance of this Note, including all accrued interest and late lees, is the full our and payable on (min/odyyyy), hereinafter known as the "Due Date" in full the Loan shall be due and payable in one (1) of the following ways (check one)
	P-LUMP SUM Borrower shall pay a tump sum to be made in-full, principal and interest included, of 178,000 Dollars (5) by the Due Date
	INSTALLMENTS Borrower shall pay principal and interest in the amount of Dollars (\$) on (check one)
	A weekly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date
2	David on-time (by the due date) along with the default interest due, as described in Section 5
at, Ma	metas, It Street, weeping willow, Lugo, Ramonon, S. Tofface
,	heremafter known as the "Security", which shall transfer to the possession and ownership of the Lender IMMEDIATELY pursuant to Section 8 of this Hole. The Security may not be sold
	or transferred without the Lender's consent until the Due Date If Borrower breaches this
	provision. Lender may declare all sums due under this Note immediately due and payable.
	unless prohibited by applicable law. The Lender shall have the sole option to accept the Security as full-payment for the Borrowed Money without further habilities or obligations. If
	the market value of the Security does not exceed the Borrowed Money, the Borrower
	shall remain liable for the balance due while accruing interest at the maximum rate allowed
	ty tre
	x Krustone Amitio, Bolower 3121/2020
	Sign x Horas 3/21/2020 Page 1013

STANDARD PROMISSORY NOTE

(SECURED)

State of California

s 500,000 Date 512412017

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned, Kristing Smith
("Borrower"), hereby promises to pay to the Howard Salth or Extate
("Lender"), the principal sum of \$500,000 (the "Principal Amount") together with interest on
the unpaid Principal Amount in accordance with the terms set forth below
1. Payment
The Borrower shall:
makeequal payments of(\$) each, every
(month/year) starting on and ending on
pay a lump sum payment by paid through close of escrow m Yeal proporties Attachment A 7.1 2. Term This Note will mature, and be due and payable in full, on (the Maturity Date?). 3. Default
Where the Borrower fails to pay the Note in full on the Maturity Date or has failed to make an installment payment due within 15 days of the Maturity Date, all unpaid principal shall accrue interest at the rate of percent (
4. Allocation of Payments
Payments shall be first credited any late fees due, then to interest due and any remainder will be credited to the principal.

5. · Prepayment

The Borrower may prepay the loan, with Interest, without any penalty

6. Late Fees

If Borrower fails to make a payment due under this Note within _____O days after the Maturity Date, the Borrower agrees to pay to Lender a late payment fee of \$____O__.

7. Security

- 7.1. This Note is secured by Real Property see AH. A 7.1
 (The Security).
- 7.2. The Security may not be sold or transferred without the Lender's consent until the Maturity Date.
- 7.3. If Borrower breaches this provision, the Lender may declare all sums due under this Note immediately due and payable, unless where prohibited by the Governing Law.
- 7.4. The Lender shall have the sole-option to accept the Security as full- payment for the Principal Amount without further liabilities or obligations. If the market value of the Security does not exceed the Principal Amount, the Borrower shall remain liable for the balance due while accruing interest at the maximum rate allowed by law.

8. Acceleration

If the Borrower is in default under this Note or is in default regarding the Security instrument of this Note, and such default is not cured within _D_ days after written notice of such default, then the Lender may, at its option, declare all outstanding sums owed on this Note to be immediately due and payable, in addition to any other rights or remedies that the Lender may have under the security instrument or by law.

9. Collection

- 9.1. The Borrower shall pay any and all issue taxes, documentary stamp taxes, and other taxes that may be payable in respect of the issuance or delivery of this Note.
- 9.2. If this Note is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection following the occurrence of an event of Default, the Borrower agrees to pay to the Lender upon demand all costs and expenses, including, without limitation, all attorneys' fees and court costs incurred by the Lender in connection with the enforcement or collection of this Note (whether or not any action has been commenced by the Lender to enforce or collect this Note).

10. Transfer of Note

The Lender may transfer this Note in compliance with applicable U.S. federal and state and/or foreign securities laws.

11. Amendment

This Note may not be modified or amended except by written agreement signed by both the Borrower and Lender.

12. Governing Law & Jurisdiction

This Note shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California, and the parties hereby submit to this jurisdiction without prejudice to any conflict of law provisions.

13. No Assignment & Delegation

The Borrower may not assign its rights or delegate its duties under this Note without the Lender's prior written consent.

14. Severability

In the event that any provision of this Note is determined to be void or unenforceable in whole or in part, for any reason, such determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision, all of which shall remain in full force and effect.

15. Notices

Any notices required or permitted to be given under this Note, shall be given in writing and shall be delivered either in person, or by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, or by facsimile, or by a commercial overnight courier that guarantees next day delivery and provides a receipt, and such notices shall be made to the parties at the addresses listed below:

Borrower's Address: 27591 Kathy Court Lagura Miguel. (4 72677

16. Waiver

- 16.1. No failure or delay by the Lender in exercising its rights under this Note shall be considered a waiver of such rights. The Lender shall also not be deemed to have waived any provision of this Note or the exercise of any rights held under this Note unless such waiver is made expressly and in writing.
- 16.2. The waiver by Lender of a breach or violation of any provision of this Note shall not constitute a waiver of any other subsequent breach or violation.
- 16.3. The Borrower and all sureties, guarantors and endorsers hereof, waive presentment, protest and demand, notice of protest, demand and dishonor and nonpayment of this Note.

....

17. Headings

The section headings of this Note are for convenience only, and shall not limit or otherwise affect any of the terms hereof.

Cassse83224bbk1123527777A CDavion62-1 Pilveto204/Eilve20501/Eint25ed Deviso1A35a00n08n331 Decasge Main Docume0tof 18 age 41 of 50

18. Binding

This Note will inure to the benefit of and be binding on the respective successors and permitted assigns of Lender and Borrower

19. Conflicting Terms

In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Note and the terms of any security instrument securing the payment of this Note, the terms of this Note shall prevail.

20. Execution Clause

The Borrower executes this Note as a principal and not as a surety. If there is more than one Borrower, each Borrower shall be jointly and severally liable under this Note.

Lender's Signature

Borrower's Signature

x Howard Smith

Lender's Printed Name

x Krintina Smith

Borrower's Printed Name

Witness's Mgnature

Witness's Signature

x Keny Kavanaugh

Witness's Printed Name

Witness's Printed Name



Attachment A Secured Real Property

1. 271 Ashbury Lane Upland, CA 91784

APN 1043-341-25-0000

2. 3226 Garden Dr. San Bernardino, CA 92404

APN 0271-371-24-0000

3. 3588 N. Lugo Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92404

APN 0153-031-13-0000

4. 137 S. Terrace San Bernardino CA 92404

APN 0142-721-34

5. 587 West Winchester Rialto, CA 92376

APN 0127-203-17

6. 739 West H Street Ontario, CA

APN 1048-303-06-0

7. 951 East Home St Rialto, CA 92376

APN 0133-302-25

8. 1010 West Moffatt Street Rialto, CA 92378

APN 1133-411-12

9. 7013 Ramona Ave. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701

APN 1076-221-67-0-000

10.14227 Weeping Willow Ln. Fontana, CA 92337

APN 236-462-22

11. 4343 Alamitos Montclair, CA 91763

APN 1009-232-2120

SECURED PROMISSORY NOTE SMITH/SMITH 2017-MAY-24

STANDARD PROMISSORY NOTE SECURED

	This Secured Promissory Note ("Note") is made as of this $\frac{9 21 2020}{2020}$ (mm/ddyyyy). heremafter known as the "Start Date", by and between
K	risting SMITH (Bonomer Name) or Haward South Self
	("Borrower"), has received and promises to payback
	Howard Smith render Names of Self
	("Lender") The principal sum of
	hereinaffer known as the "Borrowed Money", beginning as of the Start Date in the manner
	1. PAYMENTS The WE Dalance of his Note, including all accounts interest and the lees, is hip a
	close of Sonas wifes, Lugo, S. Tax
	1. PAYMENTS The full balance of this Note, including all accrued interest and late fees, is 11' P.A. due and payable on (min/od/yyyy), hereinafter known as the "Due Date" in full The Loan shall be due and payable in one (1) of the following ways (check one)
	P-LUMP SUM Borrower shall pay a tump sum to be made in-full principal and interest included, of 178,000 Dollars (5) by the Due Oake
	BYSTALLMENTS Borrower shall pay principal and interest in the amount of Dollars (\$) on (check one)
	A weekly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tille payment lee of \$
odfatt,	3. SECURITY This note is hereby secured by the tollowing Real Property, Scient Mametas, It Street, weren, willow, Julio, Famonou J. Terface hereinafter known as the "Security", which shall transfer to the possession and ownership of the Lender BAIMEDIATELY pursuant to Section 8 of this Note. The Security may not be sold or transferred without the Lender's consent until the Due Date. If Borrower breaches this provision, Lender may declare all sums due under this Note immediately due and payable, unless prohibited by applicable time. The Lender shall have the sole option to accept the Security as Rull-payment for the Borrowed Money without further babilities or obligations. If
	the mainet value of the Security does not exceed the Borrowed Money, the Borrower shall remain liable for the balance due white accruing interest at the maximum rate allowed by the

STANDARD PROMISSORY NOTE

(SECURED)

State of California

s 500,000 Date 512412017

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, the undersigned, Kristing Smith
("Borrower"), hereby promises to pay to the Howard Salth or Extate
("Lender"), the principal sum of \$500,000 (the "Principal Amount") together with interest on
the unpaid Principal Amount in accordance with the terms set forth below
1. Payment
The Borrower shall:
makeequal payments of(\$) each, every
(month/year) starting on and ending on
pay a lump sum payment by paid through close of escrow m Yeal proporties Attachment A 7.1 2. Term This Note will mature, and be due and payable in full, on (the Maturity Date?). 3. Default
Where the Borrower fails to pay the Note in full on the Maturity Date or has failed to make an installment payment due within 15 days of the Maturity Date, all unpaid principal shall accrue interest at the rate of percent (
4. Allocation of Payments
Payments shall be first credited any late fees due, then to interest due and any remainder will be credited to the principal.

5. · Prepayment

The Borrower may prepay the loan, with Interest, without any penalty

6. Late Fees

If Borrower fails to make a payment due under this Note within _____O days after the Maturity Date, the Borrower agrees to pay to Lender a late payment fee of \$____O__.

7. Security

- 7.1. This Note is secured by Real Property see AH. A 7.1
 (The Security).
- 7.2. The Security may not be sold or transferred without the Lender's consent until the Maturity Date.
- 7.3. If Borrower breaches this provision, the Lender may declare all sums due under this Note immediately due and payable, unless where prohibited by the Governing Law.
- 7.4. The Lender shall have the sole-option to accept the Security as full- payment for the Principal Amount without further liabilities or obligations. If the market value of the Security does not exceed the Principal Amount, the Borrower shall remain liable for the balance due while accruing interest at the maximum rate allowed by law.

8. Acceleration

If the Borrower is in default under this Note or is in default regarding the Security instrument of this Note, and such default is not cured within _D_ days after written notice of such default, then the Lender may, at its option, declare all outstanding sums owed on this Note to be immediately due and payable, in addition to any other rights or remedies that the Lender may have under the security instrument or by law.

9. Collection

- 9.1. The Borrower shall pay any and all issue taxes, documentary stamp taxes, and other taxes that may be payable in respect of the issuance or delivery of this Note.
- 9.2. If this Note is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection following the occurrence of an event of Default, the Borrower agrees to pay to the Lender upon demand all costs and expenses, including, without limitation, all attorneys' fees and court costs incurred by the Lender in connection with the enforcement or collection of this Note (whether or not any action has been commenced by the Lender to enforce or collect this Note).

10. Transfer of Note

The Lender may transfer this Note in compliance with applicable U.S. federal and state and/or foreign securities laws.

11. Amendment

This Note may not be modified or amended except by written agreement signed by both the Borrower and Lender.

12. Governing Law & Jurisdiction

This Note shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of California, and the parties hereby submit to this jurisdiction without prejudice to any conflict of law provisions.

13. No Assignment & Delegation

The Borrower may not assign its rights or delegate its duties under this Note without the Lender's prior written consent.

14. Severability

In the event that any provision of this Note is determined to be void or unenforceable in whole or in part, for any reason, such determination shall not affect the validity or enforceability of any other provision, all of which shall remain in full force and effect.

15. Notices

Any notices required or permitted to be given under this Note, shall be given in writing and shall be delivered either in person, or by certified mail, postage prepaid, return receipt requested, or by facsimile, or by a commercial overnight courier that guarantees next day delivery and provides a receipt, and such notices shall be made to the parties at the addresses listed below:

Borrower's Address: 27591 Kathy Court Lagura Miguel. (4 92677

16. Waiver

- 16.1. No failure or delay by the Lender in exercising its rights under this Note shall be considered a waiver of such rights. The Lender shall also not be deemed to have waived any provision of this Note or the exercise of any rights held under this Note unless such waiver is made expressly and in writing.
- 16.2. The waiver by Lender of a breach or violation of any provision of this Note shall not constitute a waiver of any other subsequent breach or violation.
- 16.3. The Borrower and all sureties, guarantors and endorsers hereof, waive presentment, protest and demand, notice of protest, demand and dishonor and nonpayment of this Note.

....

17. Headings

The section headings of this Note are for convenience only, and shall not limit or otherwise affect any of the terms hereof.

Cassse83224bbk1123527777A CDavion62-1 Pilveto204/Eilve20501/Eint25ed Deviso1A35a00n08n331 Decasge Main Docume6tof 18 age 47 of 50

18. Binding

This Note will inure to the benefit of and be binding on the respective successors and permitted assigns of Lender and Borrower

19. Conflicting Terms

In the event of any conflict between the terms of this Note and the terms of any security instrument securing the payment of this Note, the terms of this Note shall prevail.

20. Execution Clause

The Borrower executes this Note as a principal and not as a surety. If there is more than one Borrower, each Borrower shall be jointly and severally liable under this Note.

This agreement was signed the 34 day of 40 ay _______ so 17 by the following:

X Hustina Smillio

Lender's Signature

Borrower's Signature

x Howard Smith x Krintina Smith

Lender's Printed Name Borrower's Printed Name

Witness's Mgnature Witness's Signature

Witness's Printed Name

Witness's Printed Name



Attachment A Secured Real Property

1. 271 Ashbury Lane Upland, CA 91784

APN 1043-341-25-0000

2. 3226 Garden Dr. San Bernardino, CA 92404

APN 0271-371-24-0000

3. 3588 N. Lugo Ave. San Bernardino, CA 92404

APN 0153-031-13-0000

4. 137 S. Terrace San Bernardino CA 92404

APN 0142-721-34

5. 587 West Winchester Rialto, CA 92376

APN 0127-203-17

6. 739 West H Street Ontario, CA

APN 1048-303-06-0

7. 951 East Home St Rialto, CA 92376

APN 0133-302-25

8. 1010 West Moffatt Street Rialto, CA 92378

APN 1133-411-12

9. 7013 Ramona Ave. Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91701

APN 1076-221-67-0-000

10.14227 Weeping Willow Ln. Fontana, CA 92337

APN 236-462-22

11. 4343 Alamitos Montclair, CA 91763

APN 1009-232-2120

SECURED PROMISSORY NOTE SMITH/SMITH 2017-MAY-24

STANDARD PROMISSORY NOTE SECURED

	This Secured Promissory Note ("Note") is made as of this $\frac{8 21 2020}{ 2020 }$ (mm/doyyyy). hereinafter known as the "Start Date", by and between
K	risting SMITH (Bonomer Name) or I Bavarde Sauth Self
	("Borrower"), has received and promises to payback
	Howard Smith Render Names of Self
	(Lender') The principal sum of 178,000 US Dollars (5
	with interest account on the unpaid balance at a rate of percent (%) per annum.
	herenafter known as the "Borrowed Money", beginning as of the Start Date in the manner as follows
	close of escrew : wife willow, Lugo, S.
	1. PAYMENTS The Aut balance of his Note, including all accrued interest and late lees, is
	Oue and payable on (mm/odyyyy), heremafter known as the "Due Date" in E
	The Loan shall be due and payable in one (1) of the following ways (check one)
	Diving the same and an about the same and an arrange and an arrange and an arrange and arrange arrange arrange and arrange arr
	P-LUMP SUM florrower shall pay a tump sum to be made in-full, principal and interest included, of 78,000 Dollars (\$ 1 by the Due Date
	0000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0
	 INSTALLMENTS: Borrower shall pay principal and interest in the amount of
	Dollars (\$) on (check one)
	☐ - A weekly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date ☐ - A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date
(farth	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tile payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE There shall be a tile payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
l fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE There shall be a tale payment lee of \$
tfatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE. There shall be a title payment fee of \$
fatt,	A monthly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date A quarterly basis with any remaining balance payable on the Due Date LATE FEE There shall be a tale payment lee of \$

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

3090 Bristol Street #400 Costa Mesa, CA 92626

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): **NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM 5-1** will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

David Coats dacoats@raslg.com

Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) 4/10/2025, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Date	Printed Name	Signature
4/10/2025	Benjamin Heston	/s/Benjamin Heston
I declare under pena	alty of perjury under the laws of the Unit	ed States that the foregoing is true and correct.
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
Pursuant to F.R.Civ. personal delivery, o transmission and/or	.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date) _vernight mail service, or (for those whemail as follows. Listing the judge here	LIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL, I served the following persons and/or entities by o consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnighter the document is filed (state method for each person or entity)
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
Judge Theodor Albe 411 West Fourth Str Suite 5085 / Courtro Santa Ana, CA 9270	eet 28822 Via De Luna om 5B Laguna Niguel, CA	
On (date) 4/10/2025 adversary proceeding postage prepaid, and	ng by placing a true and correct copy the	entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case o ereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class e here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be
		☐ Service information continued on attached page
yflores@hestonlaw.cor Richard A Marshack (1 Matthew J Stockl ma United States Trustee Julie J Villalobos juli	FR) pkraus@marshackhays.com, ecf.aleriatthew.stockl@dinsmore.com, katrice.ortiz@(SA) ustpregion16.sa.ecf@usdoj.gov	dinsmore.com n;villalobosjr51108@notify.bestcase.com;gus@oaktreelaw.com
Richard G. Heston	ricstoria, ricstoriaw.com,	